



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,748	11/25/2003	Yasuhide Fukui	49618DIV(71965)	3389
21874	7590	04/29/2009	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS ANGELI, PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			GRUN, JAMES LESLIE	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1641			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
04/29/2009	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/723,748	FUKUI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JAMES L. GRUN	1641	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAMES L. GRUN, Ph.D., USPTO (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. COLLEEN McKIERNAN, Reg. No. 48570, Appl. rep. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: FAX of draft claims for discussion.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendments to the claims were discussed with regard to outstanding 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> para. rejections in the case. Additional suggestions by the examiner regarding 112 2<sup>nd</sup> para. issues were discussed. Applicant proposes to submit a formal response in the near future incorporating the discussed changes. Applicant was reminded of the After Final Rejection status of the case and that the proposals may raise new issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/JAMES L GRUN/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1641

/Christopher L. Chin/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641